

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/943,238	10/03/1997	MASAAKI HIROKI	0756-1724	9123
75	90 12/14/2001			•
SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE STE 600 MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER	
			RAO, SHRINIVAS H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/14/0001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	P
, ,	]
ss	
unication.	
nerits is	
ge	
plication).	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/943,238	HIROKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven H. Rao	2814			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	November 2001 .				
2a)□ Th	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-110</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) <u></u> Cla	im(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Cla	im(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Cla	im(s) 41-110 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application	Papers					
9) <b>□</b> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) <u></u> A	ll b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 07/837394.					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	•	, , ,				
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	100					

Application/Control Number: 08/943,238

Art Unit: 2814

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: groups 1-14 embodiments and corresponding figures (1-figs. 18 A-F), (2-fig.19), (3-figs.13A-F), (4- fig. 15), (5- figs. 6 A-D), (6- fig. 2), (7-figs. 22 A-I), (8-fig.26), (9-fig. 27), (10-fig. 29), (11-figs. 31-32), (12-figs. -33-34), (13-figs. 36 A-G), (14-fig. 30)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/943,238

Art Unit: 2814

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Eric Robinson on December 12, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H. Rao whose telephone number is 703-306-5945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8.00 to 5.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 08/943,238

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

A ...

December 13, 2001

Olik Chaudhuri
Supervisory Paterii Lier
Tecrii Teerii Teer